

requests a conference with the House on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses thereon, and appoints Mr. BIBLE, Mr. CHURCH, Mr. JACKSON, Mr. KUCHEL, and Mr. ALLOTT to be the conferees on the part of the Senate.

The message also announced that the Senate insists upon its amendment to the bill (H.R. 10129) entitled "An act to amend the act of September 7, 1957, relating to aircraft loan guarantees," disagreed to by the House; requests a conference with the House on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses thereon, and appoints Mr. MONRONEY, Mr. SMATHERS, Mr. THURMOND, Mr. COTTON, and Mr. SCOTT to be the conferees on the part of the Senate.

#### LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

Mr. ARENDS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to address the House for 1 minute.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Illinois?

There was no objection.

Mr. ARENDS. I wish to ask the gentleman from Oklahoma if he can tell us what the program will be for tomorrow, and likewise the program for next week.

Mr. ALBERT. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. ARENDS. I yield.

Mr. ALBERT. The distinguished chairman of the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs has obtained clearance to call up a few bills by unanimous consent this evening and to file two conference reports, if there is no objection. The program for tomorrow is:

H.R. 9134—conference report—Arizona, sale of lands, Maricopa County.

H.R. 10566—conference report—Arizona, mineral deposits, Pima County.

H.R. 6682—conference report—tariff, exemption of fowling nets.

H.R. 12180—conference report—tariff, suspend duty on personal and household goods.

H.R. 11732, to amend section 305, Communications Act of 1934—1 hour of debate.

Mr. ARENDS. Is the gentleman in a position to tell us anything about next week?

Mr. ALBERT. I am not in position to announce anything definite about next week, but I can state that Monday is District Day, and on that day also we expect the Reserve callup bill will be taken up under 2 hours of general debate.

On Tuesday there will be the conference report on H.R. 10.

On Wednesday the Cuban resolution will be taken up.

There will be other business on Tuesday and Wednesday, but those are the important items.

Mr. PILLION. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. ARENDS. I yield.

Mr. PILLION. Do I understand that the Cuban resolution will not be taken up until Wednesday of next week?

Mr. ALBERT. It will be taken up under a rule. It would be premature to make a definite announcement. I am only calling these things to the Mem-

bers' attention so they may have a general idea of what to expect. It is my understanding that it will not be called up until Wednesday. This is subject to revision, however.

Mr. FULTON. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. ARENDS. I yield.

Mr. FULTON. Are the bills to be called up that the gentleman has listed of a controversial nature?

Mr. ALBERT. The gentleman from Arkansas has the only bill, except conference reports. He is present, the distinguished chairman of the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce. I think the gentleman should address his question to the gentleman from Arkansas.

Mr. ARENDS. Would the gentleman from Arkansas care to make a statement?

Mr. HARRIS. If the gentleman will yield, I would assume there would be some objection, though I have a feeling that some of the misunderstanding about the bill when it was called up before has been cleared up and there should not be nearly as much controversy over it as there was a few days ago.

Will the gentleman yield for a question?

The SPEAKER. The time of the gentleman from Illinois has expired.

Mr. ARENDS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to proceed for 1 additional minute.

The SPEAKER. Without objection it is so ordered.

There was no objection.

Mr. ARENDS. I yield to the gentleman from Arkansas.

Mr. HARRIS. Mr. Speaker, I think it is a very important question and I think I should bring it up at this time. I know what the usual procedure is here with reference to announcing the program of the following week.

I do not know, and neither I suppose does anyone else, at this time about adjournment, but there is a drug bill which our committee is trying to write. We meet in the morning at 9 o'clock to continue marking up the bill and we hope we can get it out of the committee by this weekend. If so, there probably will be an effort made to get it up some time during next week. If because of conditions we are not able to get it up until the first of the week then I would want it to be understood that during the week an effort would be made to try to get it up. I am told by industry, the Government, the administration, and most everybody that this is an important piece of legislation. For 6 weeks we have been virtually prohibited from taking any action in the committee. I want everybody to know as far as I am concerned, as chairman, I have been doing everything I can for the last several weeks to get this legislation out.

Mr. ALBERT. I think the Members of the House understand that from now to the end of the session we will announce programs frequently and will modify our programs. We will bring up important bills as soon as the chairman of a committee is ready to bring them to the floor.

Mr. SCHWENGEL. I would like to ask the majority leader a question, but before I do I would remind him that H.R. 10 passed the House by an overwhelming vote last year. After considerable delay the other body passed it. A conference report has been available since yesterday. Why can we not have consideration of this bill so we can assure its passage and signature by the President and have it become law?

Mr. ALBERT. We have other business tomorrow. After consultation, we have agreed to set consideration of that bill next week.

Mr. SCHWENGEL. I think we are making a mistake. We may run into a pocket veto.

#### AUTHORIZING SALE OF MINERAL ESTATE IN CERTAIN LANDS

Mr. ASPINALL submitted the following conference report and statement on the bill (H.R. 8134) to authorize the sale of the mineral estate in certain lands:

##### CONFERENCE REPORT (H. REPT. No. 2451)

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 8134) to authorize the sale of the mineral estate in certain lands, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 1, and agree to the same with an amendment as follows: In lieu of the matter inserted by the Senate amendment insert the following: "That (a) subject to valid existing rights, the mineral interests of the United States, which have been reserved in patents or other conveyances, heretofore issued under the public land laws, in the lands more fully described herein are hereby withdrawn from all forms of location and appropriation and the lands involved are withdrawn from entry, for prospecting or other purposes under the public land laws, including the mining and mineral leasing laws, and from disposal under the Act of July 31, 1947, as amended (61 Stat. 681; 30 U.S.C. 601-604).

"(b) The withdrawals effected by this Act shall not be modified or revoked except by Act of Congress. This Act shall be applicable only to the lands which are situated in:"

And the Senate agree to the same.

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 2.

ED EDMONDSON,

JOHN P. SAYLOR,

J. ERNEST WHARTON,

*Managers on the Part of the House.*

HENRY M. JACKSON,

ALAN BIBLE,

THOMAS H. KUCHEL,

*Managers on the Part of the Senate.*

##### STATEMENT

The managers on the part of the House at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill, H.R. 8134, to provide for the sale of mineral interests in certain lands in Maricopa County, Ariz., submitted the following statement in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon and recommended in the accompanying conference report:

H.R. 8134, as passed by the House, would have provided for the sale of mineral interests in certain lands at market value but not

less than \$5.00 per acre, plus the cost of appraisal. The lands involved had been public lands of the United States and were transferred into private ownership with the reservation of minerals to the United States. At the present time the highest and best use of the lands is for residential development with which the reserved mineral interest interferes.

The Senate amended the bill to provide for a withdrawal of the minerals from appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining and mineral leasing laws, and from disposal under the Materials Act of July 31, 1947 (30 U.S.C. 601-604).

The House conferees believe that the principal objective of the House can be attained through further amendment of H.R. 8134 without a sale of the mineral estate. Enactment of the legislation is designed to permit lending institutions and developers to proceed with construction without fear that the reserved mineral estate will invite prospecting and development under the mining laws that might destroy or lessen the security for a mortgage loan.

The amendments agreed upon by the conferees clearly set forth that in addition to the withdrawal of the minerals from appropriation they are withdrawn from location and the lands are completely withdrawn from entry for prospecting or otherwise. Then, by adding a new subsection, provision is made to assure that the lands and minerals cannot be restored to entry or appropriation by administrative action by specifying that the withdrawals may be modified or revoked only by act of Congress.

Since it is intended to protect residential properties, the conferees submit that the Congress will not restore the lands for mineral development if they are developed for residential use.

ED EDMONDSON,  
JOHN P. SAYLOR,  
J. ERNEST WHARTON,  
*Managers on the Part of the House.*

#### PROVIDING FOR WITHDRAWAL AND ORDERLY DISPOSITION OF MINERAL INTERESTS IN CERTAIN PUBLIC LANDS IN PIMA COUNTY, ARIZ.

Mr. ASPINALL submitted the following conference report and statement on the bill (H.R. 10566) to provide for the withdrawal and orderly disposition of mineral interests in certain public lands in Pima County, Ariz.

##### CONFERENCE REPORT (H. REPT. No. 2452)

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 10566) to provide for the withdrawal and orderly disposition of mineral interests in certain public lands in Pima County, Arizona, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the Senate recede from its amendment numbered 1.

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 2, and agree to the same with an amendment as follows: Strike out page 2 and lines 1 to 9, inclusive, on page 3, and insert in lieu thereof the following:

"(b) The withdrawal effected by this Act—

"(1) precludes location of claims, or entry for prospecting or other purposes, under the mining laws.

"(2) shall not be modified or revoked except by Act of Congress."

And the Senate agree to the same.

ED EDMONDSON,  
JOHN P. SAYLOR,  
J. ERNEST WHARTON,  
*Managers on the Part of the House.*

HENRY M. JACKSON,  
ALAN BIBLE,  
THOMAS H. KUCHEL,  
*Managers on the Part of the Senate.*

##### STATEMENT

The managers on the part of the House at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 10566) to provide for the withdrawal and orderly disposition of mineral interests in certain public lands in Pima County, Ariz., submit the following statement in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon and recommended in the accompanying conference report:

H.R. 10566, as passed by the House, would have effected the withdrawal of minerals in certain lands in and around Tucson, Ariz., and established a procedure for the disposal of any minerals that might, in fact, be beneath the surface.

The Senate amended the bill to eliminate the provisions of the House bill under which the mineral interests could be purchased and developed by the surface owner. This left the bill in the form of a statutory withdrawal of the minerals from appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining and mineral leasing laws and from disposal under the Materials Act of July 31, 1947 (30 U.S.C. 601-604).

The House conferees believe that the principal objective of the House can be attained through further amendment of H.R. 10566 without setting up any procedure for future prospecting and development of materials.

Enactment of this legislation is designed to (1) permit present surface owners who have residences on lands on which minerals have been reserved to the United States to be relieved from harassment by locators who stake claims under the mining laws and (2) reassure lending institutions and homebuilders that it is safe to proceed with new developments without fear of future harassment that will destroy or lessen the security for a mortgage loan.

The amendments agreed upon by the conferees clearly set forth that in addition to the withdrawal of the minerals from appropriation they are withdrawn from location and the lands are completely withdrawn from entry for prospecting or otherwise. Then, by adding a new subsection, provision is made to assure that the lands and minerals cannot be restored to entry or appropriation by administrative action by specifying that the withdrawals may be modified or revoked only by act of Congress.

Since it is intended to protect residential properties, the conferees submit that the Congress will not restore for minerals development any lands intensely developed for residential use.

ED EDMONDSON,  
JOHN P. SAYLOR,  
J. ERNEST WHARTON,  
*Managers on the Part of the House.*

#### AGATE DAM AND RESERVOIR, TALENT DIVISION OF THE ROGUE RIVER BASIN RECLAMATION PROJECT, OREGON

Mr. ASPINALL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent for the immediate

consideration of the bill (S. 1023) to amend the act of August 20, 1954 (68 Stat. 752), in order to provide for the construction, operation, and maintenance of additional features of the Talent division of the Rogue River Basin reclamation project, Oregon.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Boggs). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Colorado?

There being no objection, the Clerk read the bill, as follows:

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,* That, in addition to the works described in section 1 of the Act of August 20, 1954 (68 Stat. 752), the Secretary of the Interior, acting pursuant to the Federal reclamation laws (Act of June 17, 1902, 32 Stat. 388, and Acts amendatory thereof or supplementary thereto), is authorized to construct, operate, and maintain as a part of the Talent division of the Rogue River Basin project, Oregon, the following works: Agate Dam and Reservoir, a diversion dam, feeder canals, and related facilities.

SEC. 2. (a) The Secretary of the Interior is authorized, in connection with the works authorized by this Act, to construct minimum basic public recreation facilities and to arrange for the operation and maintenance of the same by an appropriate State or local agency or organization. The cost of constructing such facilities shall be non-reimbursable and nonreturnable under the reclamation laws.

(b) The Secretary may make such reasonable provision in the works authorized by this Act as he finds to be required for the conservation and development of fish and wildlife in accordance with the provisions of the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (48 Stat. 401, as amended; 16 U.S.C., sec. 661, and the following), and the portion of the construction costs allocated to these purposes together with an appropriate share of the operation, maintenance, and replacement costs therefor, shall be nonreimbursable and nonreturnable.

SEC. 3. (a) Section 3 of the Act of August 20, 1954, supra, is amended by inserting after the figure "\$22,900,000" the following: ", and for the construction of Agate Dam and Reservoir the sum of \$1,802,000 (January 1960 costs), in each case".

(b) Section 2, subsection (c) of said Act is amended by deleting the final period and adding to the last sentence "from the date when each irrigation repayment contract becomes effective."

The bill was ordered to be read a third time, was read the third time, and passed, and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

#### CROOKED RIVER PROJECT EXTENSION, OREGON

Mr. ASPINALL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent for the immediate consideration of the bill (H.R. 7811) to amend the act authorizing the Crooked River Federal reclamation project to provide for the irrigation of additional lands.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Colorado?